

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ANTHONY PRENTICE, (a.k.a. Ammianus
4 Pompilius)

5 Plaintiff
6 v.

7 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,
8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:21-cv-00369-JAD-VCF

Order

9 TRENTON HARRIS,
10 Plaintiff
11 v.

12 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,
13 Defendants

14 PABLO TORRES,
15 Plaintiff
16 v.

17 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,
18 Defendants

19 COLLIN MONGE,
20 Plaintiff
21 v.

22 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,
23 Defendants

1 MARTIN GILLEN,

2 Plaintiff

3 v.

4 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,

5 Defendants

6 CHAD HAEFNER,

7 Plaintiff

8 v.

9 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,

10 Defendants

11 DAVID KLEIN,

12 Plaintiff

13 v.

14 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,

15 Defendants

16 NICHOLAS A NAVARRETTE,

17 Plaintiff

18 v.

19 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,

20 Defendants

1 BRYAN P. BONHAM,

2 Plaintiff

3 v.

4 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,

5 Defendants

6 MICHAEL CURTIS,

7 Plaintiff

8 v.

9 CHARLES DANIELS, et al.,

10 Defendants

11
12 On May 20, 2021, the Court entered a screening order in this case. (ECF No. 7). The
13 Court physically mailed each named plaintiff in this action a copy of that screening order, a copy
14 of the complaint, and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Therefore, the Clerk of the
15 Court did not attach the complaint or application to proceed *in forma pauperis* to the notice of
16 electronic filing.

17 Named plaintiffs in this action now have filed a motion for an order directing the High
18 Desert State Prison library to provide all named plaintiffs with a copy of the screening order, a
19 copy of the complaint, and a copy of the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (ECF No. 8).


20 The Court denies that motion. The Clerk of the Court already has physically mailed
21 copies of these documents to each named plaintiff. Furthermore, because the Clerk of the Court
22 did not attach a copy of the complaint or a copy of the application to proceed *in forma pauperis*
23 to the notice of electronic filing, there are no such attachments for the law librarian to print.

1 **Conclusion**

2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion for an order directing the High Desert
3 State Prison library to provide the named plaintiffs with copies of the screening order, complaint,
4 and applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the High Desert State Prison librarian is directed**
6 **to distribute copies of this order to each of the following people: Anthony Prentice (a.k.a.**
7 **Ammianus Pompilius), Collin Monge, Martin Gillen, Bryan P. Bonham, Nicholas**
8 **Navarrette, Pablo C. Torres, Chad Haefner, David Klein, and Michael Curtis.**

9 Dated: May 20, 2021

10 

11 U.S. Magistrate Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23